Corneal collagen crosslinking (CXL) is a well-accepted and welcome addition to our arsenal of treatment options for keratoconus. Before CXL was approved in January 2007 for use in the European Union, treatment options to rehabilitate vision were quite limited—mostly to contact lenses of various descriptions and, when they failed, to penetrating keratoplasty (PK).

We now have just over 2 years’ experience with CXL; follow-up has been promising indeed, with the progression of keratoconus slowing in 100% of eyes. CXL has stopped progression or improved the condition in all but seven eyes, three of which were cases of post-LASIK ectasia. The average amount of topographic improvement is approximately 2.00 D.

At the Wellington Eye Clinic, we have treated 28 eyes that already have a minimum of 6-month follow-up after CXL. In 23 eyes, CXL was the only treatment; the other five eyes were treated with the combined therapy of topography-guided PRK followed by CXL, which we have named simultaneous laser correction with CXL (SimLC).

In many cases, PRK is used to fix residual refractive errors or corneal asymmetry after CXL. The technique removes tissue (depending on the ablation depth) from the previously crosslinked cornea. The cornea crosslinks to a depth of approximately 200 to 350 µm, and the strongest crosslinking effect is in the anterior 100 µm of the stroma.

Depending on the ablation depth of the intended laser treatment, a potentially significant amount of the strongest crosslinked fibers may be ablated. Furthermore, the superior peripheral (ie, hyperopic) ablation profile of the topography-guided treatment may redistribute corneal stress away from the inferior cornea when combined with CXL.

Theo Seiler, MD, PhD, of Zurich, Switzerland, is the pioneer of CXL. His technique suggests that 400 µm of corneal stroma are required to protect the endothelium and intraocular structures. Because the laser treatment is performed first with our SimLC technique, enough corneal stroma remains intact before CXL is performed.

We use the Allegretto excimer laser (WaveLight AG, Erlangen, Germany) for the topography-guided ablation to improve the corneal asymmetry. The synergistic improvement of shape, based on the CXL effect, allows us to reduce the laser treatment by approximately 50%. The ablation is performed in such a way as to leave 400 µm of stroma before CXL commences.

SimLC has produced remarkable improvements in corneal topography. In addition to our 28 cases with 6-month follow-up, we have also performed SimLC in five more cases; however, in these cases the only ablation is that of the topography-guided element, with refractions entered as zero. Early results suggest that such cases will have a more normalized corneal shape, especially if there is significant preexisting myopia, compared with cases that use a limited refraction.

In our original cohort of 28 patients with keratoconus undergoing CXL, the average steepest area on the cornea was 55.25 D preoperatively; postoperatively, it was 53.87 D, and the average amount of flattening over the cone was 1.38 D. The total amount of improvement in corneal remodeling (ie, the combined effect of flattening of the infer-
rior steep portion and the steepening of the superior flat portion) was 2.65 D. Table 1 shows our findings comparing the results in CXL and SimLC patients.

Endothelial cell counts were normal following SimLC; there were no significant differences between groups. The demarcation line, observed in most cases on anterior segment optical coherence topography, corresponded with the slit-lamp estimation of CXL’s depth of effect. This did not correlate to preoperative corneal thickness.

One keratoconic patient had CXL performed on her left eye and SimLC on her right. At 6 months, the left eye showed some regression, and 0.60 D of flattening was noted over the steepest area and 0.80 D of steepening over the flattest area. The overall improvement in corneal shape was 1.40 D. In the right eye, there was a marked regression of keratoconus, with 3.00 D of flattening over the steepest area and 0.80 D of steepening over the flattest area. Therefore, she experienced an overall 3.80 D improvement in corneal shape.

Her UCVA at the initial visit was 6/60-1 and 6/38+1 in the right and left eyes, respectively. At her 1 year visit, UCVA was 6/12 and 6/20, respectively. BCVA remained the same (6/7.5 and 6/6-2 in right and left eyes, respectively). Her refractive error improved in both eyes; cylinder was reduced by 1.50 D in the right eye and by 3.50 D in the left.

It is important to remember that the primary indication for CXL is to slow or halt the progression of keratoconus. Regression of the corneal shape, as we have seen in this specific patient, is considered a bonus. Of our 23 cases of CXL, only eight had no improvement in corneal shape. In fact, seven showed progression of keratoconus, with the cone on average 1.25 D steeper postoperatively; three had post-LASIK keratectasia. Every case of SimLC improved dramatically, the smallest improvement being 4.00 D and the biggest being 8.00 D (measured by delta keratometry readings).

The general changes produced during SimLC are flattening of the cone and improvement of the overall shape. This occurs because SimLC flattens the steepest area (ie, inferior) and steepens the flattest area (ie, superior). After the procedure, patients are easier to fit with contact lenses, which are also better tolerated than before. Increased functionality and delayed or eliminated need for PK are also benefits of using SimLC. Patients are satisfied with the knowledge that something has been done specifically to slow down the progression of the disease.

CXL has been a successful and useful addition to the treatment options for keratoconus. Indications for treatment will still change, and probably widen, as further studies shed more light on the subject. SimLC has raised the bar even further with a treatment that appears safe and effective.

**Take-Home Message**
- SimLC with CXL produces topographic improvements.
- The primary indication is to slow or halt progressive keratoconus; regression of the corneal shape is a bonus.


